



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/075,217	02/14/2002	Shai N. Gozani	NEURO-NRO-008	8764

7590 02/06/2008
Mark J. Pandiscio
Pandiscio & Pandiscio
470 Totten Pond Road
Waltham, MA 02154

EXAMINER

APANIUS, MICHAEL

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3736

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

02/06/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Mark J. Pandiscio
Pandiscio & Pandiscio
470 Totten Pond Road
Waltham MA 02154

In re Application of:

Gozani et al

Serial No. 10/075,217

Filed: Feb. 14, 2002

Docket: NEURO-NRO-008

Title:

APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR
PERFORMING NERVE CONDUCTION
STUDIES WITH LOCALIZATION OF
EVOKED RESPONSES

DECISION ON PETITION
UNDER 37 CFR § 1.181

This is a decision on the petition to withdraw holding of abandonment filed on Jan. 11, 2008. The letter is being considered as a petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.181(a). No fee is required. The petitioner requests withdrawal of the holding of abandonment.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The present application was held abandoned for failure to timely respond to the Non-Final Rejection mailed on Feb. 23, 2007 which set forth a three-month period for reply. Notice of abandonment was mailed on Dec. 11, 2007.

Petitioner asserts that a timely response to the Non-Final Rejection was submitted on Aug 23, 2007. The petitioner has supplied a copy of the amendment as well as a copy of certificate of mailing dated Aug. 23, 2007 and an acknowledgement post-card receipt indicating a receipt date of Aug. 23, 2007. The USPTO Palm system also shows that there was a petition of three-month extension of time fee paid.

As the petitioner has provided convincing evidence that a timely reply to the Non-Final Office action was submitted on Aug. 23, 2007, there is no abandonment in fact. Any inconvenience or delay caused to applicant is regretted.

In view of the above, the Notice of Abandonment mailed Dec. 11, 2007 is in error and is hereby vacated. The holding of abandonment is withdrawn. This application is being forwarded to the examiner in Art Unit 3736 for appropriate action.

Frederick R. Schmidt, Director
Technology Center 3700